CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. (BREW)

10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to sections 13 or 15(d) Filed on 11/07/2012 Filed Period 09/30/2012



THOMSON REUTERS ACCELUS™

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For The Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2012 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from _ Commission File Number 0-26542 CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Washington 91-1141254 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) 929 North Russell Street Portland, Oregon 97227 (Address of principal executive offices) (503) 331-7270 (Registrant's telephone number, including Area Code) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ⊠ No □ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\$232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Check one: Large Accelerated Filer □ Non-accelerated Filer □ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company □ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes \square No \boxtimes

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of October 30, 2012 was 18,874,256.

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

		<u>Page</u>
PART I -	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) – September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	2
	Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) - Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	3
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited) – Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) - Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	21
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	21
PART II	- OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	22
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	22
Signature	es e	23

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Sep	tember 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets			
Current assets:	ф.	2 15-5	.
Cash	\$	3,475	
Accounts receivable, net		11,712	13,326
Inventories		11,409	9,446
Deferred income tax asset, net		1,469	894
Other current assets		3,414	2,816
Total current assets		31,479	27,277
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net		103,581	100,725
Goodwill		12,917	12,917
Intangible and other assets, net		18,011	17,989
Total assets	\$	165,988	\$ 158,908
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$	13,362	\$ 10,994
Accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes		4,445	4,524
Refundable deposits		8,551	7,400
Other accrued expenses		877	1,436
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		632	596
Total current liabilities		27,867	24,950
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion		12,633	13,188
Fair value of derivative financial instruments		313	572
Deferred income tax liability, net		16,874	15,210
Other liabilities		519	479
Total liabilities		58,206	54,399
Commitments and contingencies			
Common shareholders' equity:			
Common stock, \$0.005 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 18,874,256 and 18,844,817		94	94
Additional paid-in capital		135,998	135,091
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(195)	
Accumulated deficit		(28,115)	
Total common shareholders' equity		107,782	104,509
Total liabilities and common shareholders' equity	\$	165,988	\$ 158,908

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited) (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			mber 30,	
	2012 2011			2012	2011			
				_				_
Sales	\$	47,951	\$	43,633	\$	137,121	\$	123,442
Less excise taxes		3,363		3,156		9,770		9,172
Net sales		44,588		40,477		127,351		114,270
Cost of sales		30,964		27,762		88,682		78,869
Gross profit		13,624		12,715		38,669		35,401
Selling, general and administrative expenses		11,907		10,530		34,502		30,489
Operating income		1,717		2,185		4,167		4,912
Income from equity method investment		-		-		-		691
Gain on sale of Fulton Street Brewery, LLC		-		-		-		10,398
Interest expense		(165)		(205)		(496)		(747)
Interest income and other, net		10		22		4		42
Income before income taxes		1,562		2,002		3,675		15,296
Income tax provision		614		771		1,470		5,889
Net income	\$	948	\$	1,231	\$	2,205	\$	9,407
Basic and diluted net income per share	\$	0.05	\$	0.07	\$	0.12	\$	0.50
	_				_			
Shares used in basic per share calculations		18,872		18,843		18,858		18,831
1								
Shares used in diluted per share calculations		18,954		18,935		18,932		18,936

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited) (In thousands)

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011 For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011

Net income	\$ 948	\$ 1,231 \$	2,205	\$ 9,407
Unrealized gains on derivative hedge transactions, net of tax	57	39	161	 108
Comprehensive income	\$ 1,005	\$ 1,270 \$	2,366	\$ 9,515

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (In thousands)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30.					
		2012		2011		
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net income	\$	2.205	\$	9,407		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	-	_,,,-	Ŧ	,,		
Depreciation and amortization		5,518		5,375		
Income from equity method investments, net of distributions received		· -		(691)		
Gain on sale of Fulton Street Brewery, LLC		-		(10,398)		
Deferred income taxes		992		4,905		
Stock-based compensation		529		337		
Excess tax benefit from employee stock plans		(379)		-		
Other		(235)		(3)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		(200)		(5)		
Accounts receivable, net		1.196		(1,320)		
Inventories		(1,962)		(1,441)		
Other current assets		(598)		739		
Other assets		(376)		(382)		
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses		2.173		(2,024)		
Accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes		(79)		(144)		
Refundable deposits		682		637		
<u> </u>						
Net cash provided by operating activities		10,042		4,997		
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Expenditures for property, equipment and leasehold improvements		(7,769)		(6,637)		
Proceeds from sale of property, equipment and leasehold improvements		37		101		
Proceeds from the sale of Fulton Street Brewery, LLC		418		15,075		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		(7,314)		8,539		
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Principal payments on debt and capital lease obligations		(440)		(5,605)		
Net repayments under revolving line of credit		(440)		(7,500)		
Proceeds from issuances of common stock		13		23		
Excess tax benefit from employee stock plans		379		23		
				(12.002)		
Net cash used in financing activities		(48)		(13,082)		
Increase in cash		2,680		454		
Cash:						
Beginning of period		795		164		
End of period	\$	3,475	\$	618		
Liid of period	Ψ	3,473	Ψ	010		
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:						
Cash paid for interest	\$	583	\$	835		
Cash paid for income taxes, net		476		473		

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

General

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 ("2011 Annual Report"). These consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These consolidated financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflect all material adjustments necessary to present fairly our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. All such adjustments were of a normal, recurring nature. The results of operations for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year.

Reclassifications

Certain immaterial amounts in the prior periods' Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2012-02, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other: Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment," which permits an entity to make a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset, other than goodwill, is impaired. Entities are required to test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually and more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. If an entity concludes, based on an evaluation of all relevant qualitative factors, that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount, it is not required to perform the quantitative impairment test for that asset. Because the qualitative assessment is optional, an entity is permitted to bypass it for any indefinite-lived intangible asset in any period and apply the quantitative test. ASU 2012-02 also permits the entity to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. ASU 2012-02 is effective for impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012 and early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2012-02 to have any effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 3. Inventories

Inventories, except for pub food, beverages and supplies, are stated at the lower of standard cost or market. Pub food, beverages and supplies are stated at the lower of cost or market.

We regularly review our inventories for the presence of obsolete product attributed to age, seasonality and quality. If our review indicates a reduction in utility below the product's carrying value, we reduce the product to a new cost basis. We record the cost of inventory for which we estimate we have more than a twelve-month supply as a component of Intangible and other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Index

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011
Raw materials	\$	2,150 \$	2,778
Work in process		3,599	2,829
Finished goods		4,457	2,128
Packaging materials		581	558
Promotional merchandise		281	967
Pub food, beverages and supplies		341	186
	\$ 1	11,409 \$	9,446

Work in process is beer held in fermentation tanks prior to the filtration and packaging process.

Note 4. Related Party Transactions

Note Payable

In connection with our merger with Kona Brewing Company in 2010 (the "KBC Merger"), we assumed an obligation for a promissory note payable ("Related Party Note") to a counterparty that was a significant KBC shareholder and remains a shareholder of Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. The Related Party Note is secured by the equipment comprising a photovoltaic cell generation system ("photovoltaic system") installed at our brewery located in Kailua-Kona, Hawaii. Accrued interest on the Related Party Note is due and payable monthly at a fixed interest rate of 4.75%, with monthly loan payments of \$16,129. Any unpaid principal balance and unpaid accrued interest under the Related Party Note will be due and payable on November 15, 2014. The balance on the Related Party Note was \$390,000 and \$519,000 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Transactions with Anheuser-Busch, LLC ("A-B")

Transactions with A-B consisted of the following (in thousands):

	I nree Moi	itns Enaea	Nine Mon	tns Enaea
	Septem	ber 30,	September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Gross sales to A-B	\$ 39,369	\$ 35,072	\$112,317	\$ 102,019
Margin fee paid to A-B, classified as a reduction of Sales	496	496	1,431	2,398
Sales to Fulton Street Brewery, LLC ("FSB"), through a contract brewing arrangement, classified in Sales ⁽¹⁾	720	1,213	3,205	2,506
Handling, inventory management, royalty and other fees paid to A-B, classified in Cost of sales	109	105	339	379
Amounts received from A-B for lost keg fees and forfeited deposits, included as a reduction of Property,				
equipment and leasehold improvements, net	90	120	122	240

Three Months Ended Nine Months Ended

(1) We owned 42% of FSB prior to it becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of A-B in May 2011 and, accordingly, transactions with FSB are considered to be related party transactions in all periods.

Executed on October 3, 2012, but effective September 1, 2012, in the best interest of both parties, we mutually agreed with FSB to end our contract brewing arrangement. Under the termination agreement, we will phase out production of FSB branded beers utilizing remaining inventory on-hand. In consideration, FSB will pay us \$70,000 per month through September 2013, reduced by an agreed upon margin for any beer delivered to FSB based on the remaining inventory levels. We recorded \$57,000 in Sales in September 2012 under the terms of the termination agreement.

Index

Amounts due to or from A-B were as follows (in thousands):

	Septe	ember 30,	
		2012	December 31, 2011
Amounts due from A-B related to beer sales pursuant to the A-B distributor agreement	\$	7,650 \$	8,310
Amounts due from FSB related to beer sales pursuant to a contract brewing arrangement		422	585
Refundable deposits due to A-B		(2,598)	(1,746)
Amounts due to A-B for services rendered		(1,930)	(2,482)
Net amount due from A-B	\$	3,544	4,667

Operating Leases

We lease our headquarters office space, restaurant and storage facilities located in Portland, Oregon, as well as the land and certain equipment from two limited liability companies, both of whose members include our current Board Chair and a nonexecutive officer. Lease payments to these lessors were as follows (in thousands):

Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012	_	2011
\$	32	\$	30	\$	94	\$	91

We hold lease and sublease obligations for certain office space and the land underlying the brewery and pub location in Kona, Hawaii, with a company whose owners include a shareholder who owns more than 5% of our common stock and a nonexecutive officer. The sublease contracts expire on various dates through 2020, with an extension at our option for two five-year periods. Lease payments to this lessor were as follows (in thousands):

Three Months End September 30,	_	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
2012	2011		2012		2011
\$ 102	\$ 9	3 \$	301	\$	296

Note 5. Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest Rate Swap Contracts

Our risk management objectives are to ensure that business and financial exposures to risk that have been identified and measured are minimized using the most effective and efficient methods to reduce, transfer and, when possible, eliminate such exposures. Operating decisions contemplate associated risks and management strives to structure proposed transactions to avoid or reduce risk whenever possible.

We have assessed our vulnerability to certain business and financial risks, including interest rate risk associated with our variable-rate long-term debt. To mitigate this risk, we entered into a five-year interest rate swap contract with Bank of America, N.A. ("BofA") with a total notional value of \$8.9 million as of September 30, 2012 to hedge the variability of interest payments associated with our variable-rate borrowings under our Term Loan with BofA. Through this swap agreement, we pay interest at a fixed rate of 4.48% and receive interest at a floating-rate of the one-month LIBOR, which was 0.23% at September 30, 2012. Since the interest rate swap hedges the variability of interest payments on variable rate debt with similar terms, it qualifies for cash flow hedge accounting treatment. As of September 30, 2012, unrealized net losses of \$195,000 were recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss ("OCI") as a result of this hedge. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reclassified into interest expense in the same period during which we record interest expense associated with the Term Loan. There was no hedge ineffectiveness recognized during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2012 or 2011.

<u>Index</u>

The interest rate swap contract is secured by substantially all of our personal property and by the real properties located at 924 North Russell Street, Portland, Oregon and 14300 NE 145th Street, Woodinville, Washington ("collateral").

The fair value of our derivative instrument is as follows (in thousands):

Fair Value of Liability Derivative						
	September 30,					
	2012		D	ecember 31, 2011		
Fair value of derivative financial instrument	\$	313	\$	572		

The effect of our interest rate swap contract that is accounted for as a derivative instrument on our Consolidated Statements of Income was as follows (in thousands):

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain Recognize in Accumulated OCI (Effective Portion)	ed	Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	fro	nount of Loss Reclassified om Accumulated OCI into come (Effective Portion)
Three Months Ended September 30,					
2012	- \$	92	Interest expense	\$	96
2011	\$	62	Interest expense	\$	104
Nine Months Ended September 30,	_				
2012	\$	259	Interest expense	\$	289
2011	\$	174	Interest expense	\$	301

See also Note 6.

Note 6. Fair Value Measurements

Factors used in determining the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities are summarized into three broad categories:

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical securities as of the reporting date;
- Level 2 other significant directly or indirectly observable inputs, including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds and credit risk; and
- Level 3 significant inputs that are generally less observable than objective sources, including our own assumptions in determining fair value.

The factors or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

Following are the disclosures related to our financial liability that is recorded at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

Fair Value at September 30, 2012	Lev	el 1	 Level 2	Level 3		Total
Derivative financial instrument	\$	-	\$ 313	\$	-	\$ 313
Fair Value at December 31, 2011	_					
Derivative financial instrument	\$	_	\$ 572	\$	-	\$ 572

There were no changes to our valuation techniques during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 or 2011.

<u>Index</u>

We believe the carrying amounts of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other accrued expenses are a reasonable approximation of the fair value of those financial instruments because of the nature of the underlying transactions and the short-term maturities involved.

Note 7. Segment Results and Concentrations

Our Chief Operating Decision Maker monitors net sales and gross margins of our Beer Related operations and our Pubs operations. Beer Related operations include the brewing operations and related beer sales of our Widmer Brothers, Redhook, Kona and Omission beer brands, both domestically and internationally. Pubs operations include our pubs, some of which are located adjacent to our Beer Related operations, other merchandise sales and sales of our beers directly to customers. We do not track operating results beyond the gross margin level or our assets on a segment level.

Net sales, gross profit and gross margin by segment were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Three Months Ended September 30,

]	Beer		
2012	R	<u>elated</u>	 Pubs	 Total
Net sales	\$	37,880	\$ 6,708	\$ 44,588
Gross profit	\$	12,321	\$ 1,303	\$ 13,624
Gross margin		32.5%	19.4%	30.6%
2011				
Net sales	\$	34,262	\$ 6,215	\$ 40,477
Gross profit	\$	11,533	\$ 1,182	\$ 12,715
Gross margin		33.7%	19.0%	31.4%

Nine Months Ended September 30,

		Beer				
2012	R	Related			Total	
Net sales	\$	109,364	\$	17,987	\$	127,351
Gross profit	\$	35,679	\$	2,990	\$	38,669
Gross margin		32.6%		16.6%		30.4%
2011						
Net sales	\$	97,583	\$	16,687	\$	114,270
Gross profit	\$	32,477	\$	2,924	\$	35,401
Gross margin		33.3%		17.5%		31.0%

The segments use many of the same assets. For internal reporting purposes, we do not allocate assets by segment and, therefore, no asset by segment information is provided to our chief operating decision maker.

In preparing this financial information, certain expenses were allocated between the segments based on management estimates, while others were based on specific factors such as headcount. These factors can have a significant impact on the amount of gross profit for each segment. While we believe we have applied a reasonable methodology, assignment of other reasonable cost allocations to each segment could result in materially different segment gross profit.

Sales to wholesalers through the A-B distributor agreement were as follows as a percentage of Sales:

Three Months Ende September 30,	d	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
2012	2011	2012	2011				
<u>81.1</u> %	79.2%	<u>80.9</u> %	<u>80.7</u> %				

Receivables from A-B represented the following percentage of our accounts receivable balance:

September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
65.3%	62.4%

Note 8. Significant Stock-Based Plan Activity and Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-Based Compensation Expense
Total stock-based compensation expense, recognized as a component of Selling, general and administrative expense on our Consolidated Statements of Income, was as follows (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended September 30,				l 			
	2	2012 2011			20	012		2011	
Selling, general and administrative	\$	218	\$	88	\$	529	\$	337	

At September 30, 2012, we had total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense of \$1.8 million, which will be recognized over the weighted average remaining vesting period of 3.1 years.

Note 9. **Earnings Per Share**

The following table reconciles shares used for basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") and provides certain other information (in thousands):

	Three Mon Septemb		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Shares used for basic EPS	18,872	18,843	18,858	18,831	
Dilutive effect of stock-based awards	82	92	74	105	
Shares used for diluted EPS	18,954	18,935	18,932	18,936	
Stock-based awards not included in diluted per share calculations as they would be anti-dilutive	46	92	109	66	

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements. Generally, the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "project," "will," "may," "plan" and similar expressions or their negatives identify forward-looking statements, which generally are not historical in nature. These statements are based upon assumptions and projections that we believe are reasonable, but are by their nature inherently uncertain. Many possible events or factors could affect the Company's future financial results and performance, and could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from those expressed, including those risks and uncertainties described in Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 ("2011 Annual Report"), and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this quarterly report.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included herein, as well as the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our 2011 Annual Report. The discussion and analysis includes period-to-period comparisons of our financial results. Although period-to-period comparisons may be helpful in understanding our financial results, we believe that they should not be relied upon as an accurate indicator of future performance.

Overview

Craft Brew Alliance is the union of four unique and pioneering craft beer brands:

- Redhook Ale Brewery founded by Gordon Bowker and Paul Shipman in 1981 in Seattle, Washington;
- Widmer Brothers Brewery founded by brothers Kurt and Rob Widmer in 1984 in Portland, Oregon;
- Kona Brewing Co. founded by father and son team Cameron Healy and Spoon Khalsa in 1994 in Kona, Hawaii; and
- Omission Beer internally developed by CBA's brewing team in 2012 in Portland, Oregon.

Since our formation, we have focused our business activities on satisfying consumers through the brewing, marketing and selling of high-quality craft beers in the United States. Today, as an independent craft brewer, we possess several distinct advantages, unique in the craft beer category. These advantages are rooted and leveraged through the combination of our innovative quality craft beers; the strength of our distinct, authentic brand portfolio; our seamless national distribution and national sales and marketing reach; our financial capabilities as a public company; our owned brew pubs; and our bi-coastal breweries

We proudly brew our craft beers in four company-owned breweries including three mainland breweries located in Portsmouth, New Hampshire; Portland, Oregon; and the Seattle suburb of Woodinville, Washington; and one Hawaii brewery located in Kailua-Kona, Hawaii. We also own and operate a small pilot brewery, primarily used for small batch production and innovative brews, at the Rose Quarter in Portland, Oregon.

We sell our beers primarily to wholesalers via a Master Distributor Agreement (the "A-B Distributor Agreement") with Anheuser-Busch, LLC ("A-B"). Redhook and Widmer Brothers beers are distributed in all 50 states and Kona beers are distributed in 31 states. Omission Beer recently became available nationally and we continue to expand into new markets. Separate from our A-B wholesalers, we maintain an independent sales and marketing organization complete with resources across the key functions of brand management, field marketing, field sales, and national retail sales.

We operate in two segments: Beer Related operations and Pubs. Beer Related operations include the brewing and sale of craft beers from our five breweries, both domestically and internationally. Pubs operations primarily include our five pubs, four of which are located adjacent to our Beer Related operations, other merchandise sales, and sales of our beers directly to customers.

Index

New Brands and Packaging

During the second quarter of 2012, we introduced Omission Beer, the first craft beer brand in the United States focused exclusively on brewing great tasting craft beers with traditional beer ingredients, including malted barley, that are specially crafted to remove gluten. The brand includes two styles: Omission Lager and Omission Pale Ale. Unlike many other beers in the fast-growing gluten-free category, Omission beers have flavor profiles that consumers would expect from traditionally brewed lagers and pale ales. Our innovative brewing process, which allows us to reduce the gluten levels to well below the widely accepted international Codex gluten-free standard of 20 parts per million for food and beverages, is unique to Omission Beer.

In March and April 2012, we began offering Kona Longboard Island Lager and Redhook Long Hammer IPA, respectively, in 12 oz. cans on a national basis. These new packages allow Kona Brewing and Redhook fans to enjoy our craft beers during more occasions – especially those where glass bottles may not be the best option, such as on the beach, in the ballpark or at the pool.

In August 2012, Kona Big Wave Golden Ale, which had previously only been available in Hawaii, joined Kona's portfolio on the mainland that includes its flagship Longboard Island Lager, Fire Rock Pale Ale and the trio of Aloha Series seasonals. Big Wave Golden Ale is one of Kona's original beers, first brewed at our Kailua-Kona home brewery in 1995, and is a great session beer with a bright, quenching finish. Like Longboard, Big Wave Golden Ale is a year-round offering and is available in all of Kona's markets.

Results of Operations

Following is a summary of our financial results:

Nine Months Ended		Net	Number of Barrels
September 30,	 Net Sales	 Income	Sold
2012	\$ 127.4 million	\$ 2.2 million	549,700
2011	\$ 114.3 million	\$ 9.4 million(1)	520,500

(1) Includes the one-time gain on sale of Fulton Street Brewery, LLC of \$6.5 million, net of tax.

<u>Index</u>

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information from our Consolidated Statements of Income expressed as a percentage of Net sales(1):

	Three Months September		Nine Months Septembe	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Sales	107.5%	107.8%	107.7%	108.0%
Less excise tax	7. <u>5</u>	7.8	7.7	8.0
Net sales	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cost of sales	69.4	68.6	69.6	69.0
Gross profit	30.6	31.4	30.4	31.0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	26.7	26.0	27.1	26.7
Operating income	3.9	5.4	3.3	4.3
Income from equity method investment	-	-	-	0.6
Gain on sale of Fulton Street Brewery, LLC	-	-	-	9.1
Interest expense	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.7)
Interest income and other, net	<u>-</u> _	0.1	<u> </u>	
Income before income taxes	3.5	4.9	2.9	13.4
Income tax provision	1.4	1.9	1.2	5.2
Net income	2.1%	3.0%	1.7%	8.2%

(1) Percentages may not add due to rounding.

Segment Information

Net sales, Gross profit and gross margin information by segment was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Three Months Ended September 30,

		Beer		
2012	R	<u>elated</u>	Pubs	Total
Net sales	\$	37,880	\$ 6,708	\$ 44,588
Gross profit	\$	12,321	\$ 1,303	\$ 13,624
Gross margin		32.5%	19.4%	30.6%
2011				
Net sales	\$	34,262	\$ 6,215	\$ 40,477
Gross profit	\$	11,533	\$ 1,182	\$ 12,715
Gross margin		33.7%	19.0%	31.4%

Nine Months Ended September 30,

		Beer		
2012	1	Related	 Pubs	 Total
Net sales	\$	109,364	\$ 17,987	\$ 127,351
Gross profit	\$	35,679	\$ 2,990	\$ 38,669
Gross margin		32.6%	16.6%	30.4%
2011				
Net sales	\$	97,583	\$ 16,687	\$ 114,270
Gross profit	\$	32,477	\$ 2,924	\$ 35,401
Gross margin		33.3%	17.5%	31.0%

Sales by Category

The following tables set forth a comparison of sales by category (dollars in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended Sept. 30,			I	Oollar	
Sales by Category	2012		2011	C	hange	% Change
A-B and A-B related	\$ 38,872	\$	34,576	\$	4,296	12.4%
Contract brewing and beer related ⁽¹⁾	2,371		2,842		(471)	(16.6)%
Excise taxes	 (3,363)		(3,156)		(207)	6.6%
Net beer related sales	 37,880		34,262		3,618	10.6%
Pubs ⁽²⁾	6,708		6,215		493	7.9%
Net sales	\$ 44,588	\$	40,477	\$	4,111	10.2%

	 Nine Months E	Dollar		
Sales by Category	2012	 2011	Change	% Change
A-B and A-B related	\$ 110,885	\$ 99,621	\$ 11,264	11.3%
Contract brewing and beer related ⁽¹⁾	8,249	7,134	1,115	15.6%
Excise taxes	 (9,770)	 (9,172)	(598)	6.5%
Net beer related sales	109,364	 97,583	11,781	12.1%
Pubs ⁽²⁾	 17,987	 16,687	1,300	7.8%
Net sales	\$ 127,351	\$ 114,270	\$ 13,081	11.4%

- (1) Beer related includes international beer sales.
- (2) Pubs sales include sales of promotional merchandise and sales of beer directly to customers.

Shipments by Category

Shipments by category were as follows (in barrels):

Three Months Ended September 30,	2012 Shipments	2011 Shipments	Increase (Decrease)	% Change	Change in Depletions ⁽¹⁾
A-B and A-B related	173,700	161,000	12,700	7.9%	4%
Contract brewing and beer related ⁽²⁾	12,100	16,700	(4,600)	(27.5)%	
Pubs	3,500	3,800	(300)	(7.9)%	
Total	189,300	181,500	7,800	4.3%	
Nine Months	2012 Shipmonts	2011	Increase	% Change	Change in

Nine Months	2012	2011	Increase	%	Change in
Ended September 30,	Shipments	Shipments	(Decrease)	<u>Change</u>	Depletions ⁽¹⁾
A-B and A-B related	496,300	470,900	25,400	5.4%	5%
Contract brewing and beer related ⁽²⁾	44,600	40,300	4,300	10.7%	
Pubs	8,800	9,300	(500)	(5.4)%	
Total	549,700	520,500	29,200	5.6%	

- (1 Change in depletions reflects the year-over-year change in barrel volume sales of beer by wholesalers to retailers.
- (2 Contract brewing and beer related includes international shipments of our beers.

The increases in sales to A-B and A-B related in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were primarily due to increases in volume, higher selling prices for our beers, and a shift in product mix towards bottle and high-end product, both of which carry a higher price per unit. Gross sales in the nine-month period were also favorably impacted by a decrease in the per barrel fee associated with sales to A-B as a result of an amendment to our A-B Distributor Agreement in May 2011. This lower fee level for the period of January 2012 through April 2012 generated approximate savings of \$1.2 million as compared to the same period in 2011.

The increase in contract brewing and beer related sales in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 was due to an increase in shipments under a three-year contract brewing arrangement with FSB, which began production in the first quarter of 2011. The decrease in contract brewing and beer related sales in the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 was due to a decrease in shipments under the arrangement with FSB. Executed on October 3, 2012, but effective September 1, 2012, in the best interest of both parties, we mutually agreed with FSB to end our contract brewing arrangement. Under the termination agreement, we will phase out production of FSB branded beers utilizing remaining inventory on-hand. In consideration, FSB will pay us \$70,000 per month through September 2013, reduced by an agreed upon margin for any beer delivered to FSB based on the remaining inventory levels. We recorded \$57,000 in Sales in September 2012 under the terms of the termination agreement.

<u>Index</u>

Sales to FSB through the contract brewing arrangement, classified in Sales, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		012		2011	
\$	720	\$	1,213	\$	3,205	\$	2,506	

Pubs sales increased in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011, primarily due to increased guest counts and pricing in certain markets. The increases were partially offset by decreases in the number of barrels sold, primarily as a result of a decline in event volume.

The increases in excise taxes in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were due to higher shipments in the 2012 periods compared to the same periods of the prior year.

The increases in volume in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were primarily driven by our increased sales and marketing efforts, timing of programs and new brand and package introductions, partially offset by the termination of our contract brewing agreement with FSB as discussed above and a decline in our event volume, which is included in Pubs.

Shipments by Brand

The following table sets forth a comparison of shipments by brand (in barrels):

Three Months Ended September 30,	2012 Shipments	2011 Shipments	Increase (Decrease)	% Change	Change in Depletions
Widmer Brothers	71,800	73,000	(1,200)	(1.6)%	(9)%
Kona	57,100	45,300	11,800	26.0%	21%
Redhook	49,000	47,000	2,000	4.3%	6%
Total ⁽¹⁾	177,900	165,300	12,600	7.6%	4%
Nine Months Ended September 30,	2012 Shipments	2011 Shipments	Increase (Decrease)	% Change	Change in Depletions
11110 1120110115				, -	
Ended September 30,	Shipments	Shipments	(Decrease)	Change	Depletions
Ended September 30, Widmer Brothers	Shipments 199,500	Shipments 209,600	(Decrease) (10,100)	Change (4.8)%	Depletions (7)%

(1) Total shipments by brand include international shipments and exclude shipments produced under our contract brewing arrangements.

The decreases in our Widmer Brothers brand shipments in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were primarily due to pressure on our Hefeweizen beer which is experiencing competition from large, multi-national wheat beer competitors in the southwestern region. Partially offsetting these decreases has been the positive effect of our focus on the core Widmer Brothers brands including our Rotator IPAs and seasonals, and our high-end offerings, which is fueling broader awareness of the overall Widmer Brothers brand.

Index

The increases in our Kona brand shipments in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were due to the success of our Kona variety packs and the increased velocity of our Kona flagship, Longboard Lager, in existing markets. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we launched our Big Wave Golden Ale, previously available only in Hawaii, on the mainland. We continue to successfully introduce our Kona beers to new markets, which has been contributing to the brand's shipment growth. The introduction of our Kona beer in cans in March 2012 also contributed to the increase.

The increases in our Redhook brand shipments in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were the result of our investments in new packaging, brand introductions and marketing initiatives. These investments have resulted in the unique Redhook brand position which we believe is resonating with consumers.

Shipments by Package

The following table sets forth a comparison of our shipments by package, excluding private label shipments produced under our contract brewing arrangements (in barrels):

Three Months	2012		2011			
Ended September 30,	Shipments % of Total		Shipments	% of Total		
Draft	55,200	31.0%	56,400	34.1%		
Bottle	122,700	69.0%	108,900	65.9%		
Total	177,900	100.0%	165,300	100.0%		
Nine Months	2012		2011			
Ended September 30,	Shipments	% of Total	Shipments	% of Total		
Draft	164,600	32.5%	170,400	35.4%		
Bottle	342,600	67. <u>5</u> %	311,600	64.6%		

The shift in package mix from draft to bottle in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 was primarily the result of the increase in volumes on our Kona bottle beer and lower volumes on our Hefeweizen draft beer. There is also increased general competition across the industry for on-premise draft sales as the large, multi-national brewers enter the craft beer segment.

507,200

100.0%

482,000

100.0%

Cost of Sales

Total

Cost of sales includes purchased raw materials, direct labor, overhead and shipping costs.

Information regarding Cost of sales was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,					Dollar		
		2012		2011	(Change	% Change	
Beer Related	\$	25,559	\$	22,729	\$	2,830		12.5%
Pubs		5,405		5,033		372		7.4%
Total	\$	30,964	\$	27,762	\$	3,202		11.5%
	Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,				I	Oollar		
		2012		2011	C	hange	% Change	
Beer Related	\$	73,685	\$	65,106	\$	8,579		13.2%
Pubs		14,997		13,763		1,234		9.0%
Total	\$	88,682	\$	78,869	\$	9,813		12.4%

The increases in Beer Related Cost of sales in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were due to the increase in shipments discussed above, as well as the mix shift from draft to bottle as the per barrel equivalent cost of bottle is more than draft. In addition, increased distribution costs, including offsite storage and fuel, and higher grain prices, primarily barley, contributed to the increases in both the three and nine-month periods.

Index

The increases in Pubs Cost of sales in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were primarily due to the increases in guest counts noted above, as well as increased labor, food and beverage costs in certain markets.

Gross Profit

Information regarding Gross profit was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended Sept. 30,				ollar		
	2012		2011	C	hange	% Change	
Beer Related	\$ 12,321	\$	11,533	\$	788	6.8%	
Pubs	 1,303		1,182		121	10.2%	
Total	\$ 13,624	\$	12,715	\$	909	7.1%	
				_			

	 Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,				Dollar		
	 2012		2011		Change	% Change	
Beer Related	\$ 35,679	\$	32,477	\$	3,202		9.9%
Pubs	 2,990		2,924		66		2.3%
Total	\$ 38,669	\$	35,401	\$	3,268		9.2%

Gross profit as a percentage of Net sales, or gross margin, was as follows:

	Three Months I September 3		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Beer Related	32.5%	33.7%	32.6%	33.3%		
Pubs	19.4%	19.0%	16.6%	17.5%		
Total	30.6%	31.4%	30.4%	31.0%		

The increases in Gross profit in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were due to increases in shipment volumes discussed above, partially offset by declines in beer related and overall gross margin rates. The declines in the beer related gross margin rates were primarily due to higher distribution and grain costs, partially offset by improved brewery performance, increased capacity utilization and a shift in mix to our higher-end beers. The increases in Pubs Gross profit in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were primarily due to increases in guest counts and pricing, partially offset by increases in labor, food and beverage costs.

Total approximate capacity utilization is calculated by dividing total shipments by the approximate working capacity and was as follows:

	Three Months	Ended	Nine Months Ended		
	September	30,	September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Capacity utilization	84.1%	79.9%	81.4%	76.4%	

During the second quarter of 2012, we added additional fermentation vessels to our breweries which we expect will increase the combined capacity of our production breweries from approximately 900,000 barrels per year to approximately 1.1 million barrels per year when put into service by the end of 2012.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A") include compensation and related expenses for our sales and marketing activities, management, legal and other professional and administrative support functions.

<u>Index</u>

Information regarding SG&A was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended Sept. 30,				Dollar		
	2012		2011		Change	% Change	
	\$ 11,907	\$	10,530	\$	1,377		13.1%
As a % of Net sales	26.7%		26.0%				
	 Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,				Dollar		
	2012		2011		Change	% Change	
	\$ 34,502	\$	30,489	\$	4,013		13.2%
As a % of Net sales	27.1%		26.7%				

The increases in SG&A for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were primarily due to increases in labor costs as we expand our national footprint into new geographies and increased costs associated with the launch of our Omission and Big Wave brands. Our investments in sales and marketing are consistent with our strategic focus on firmly establishing our brands' national footprint and competitively addressing the varied needs of craft beer consumers. These increases were partially offset by lower packaging design and development costs.

Income from Equity Method Investment

Income from equity method investment included our share of Fulton Street Brewery, LLC's ("FSB") net income through the date of sale in May 2011.

Gain on Sale of Fulton Street Brewery, LLC ("FSB")
Our pre-tax gain on the sale of FSB totaled \$10.4 million, which resulted from proceeds of \$16.3 million less our investment of \$5.9 million.

Interest Expense

Information regarding Interest expense was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended Sept. 30,					Do	llar			
	2012			2011			inge	% Cha	nge	
Interest expense	\$	165	\$		205	\$	(40)		(19.5)%	
		Nine Months E	anded Sept. 3			Do	llar			
	 201	2		2011			inge	% Change		
Interest expense	\$	496	\$	\$ 747		\$	(251)		(33.6)%	
	<u>T</u>	hree Months E	anded Sept. 3	0,		Nin	e Months En	ded Sept. 3	0,	
	20)12		2011		2012			2011	
Average debt outstanding	\$	13,349	\$	15,179	\$]	3,525	\$	22,059	
Average interest rate		2.07%		2.69%			2.04%		2.70%	

The decreases in Interest expense in the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 were due to lower average outstanding borrowings and lower average interest rates. The average interest rates shown in the above table represent cash interest, exclusive of our interest rate swap. The decreases in average outstanding borrowings were primarily the result of using a portion of the proceeds from the sale of FSB in May 2011 to repay the \$8.8 million outstanding on our line of credit and \$4.2 million outstanding related to capital leases.

Income Tax Provision

Our effective income tax rate was 40.0% for the first nine months of 2012 and 38.5% in the first nine months of 2011. The effective income tax rates reflect the impact of non-deductible expenses, primarily meals and entertainment expenses and state and local taxes, and tax credits.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have required capital primarily for the construction and development of our production breweries, to support our expansion and growth plans and to fund our working capital needs. Historically, we have financed our capital requirements through cash flow from operations, bank borrowings and the sale of common and preferred stock. We anticipate meeting our obligations for the twelve months beginning October 1, 2012 primarily from cash flows generated from operations. In addition, we may borrow under our line of credit facility as the need arises. Capital resources available to us at September 30, 2012 included \$3.5 million of cash and \$22.0 million available under our line of credit facility.

We had \$3.5 million and \$795,000 of cash at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. At September 30, 2012, we had working capital of \$3.6 million compared to working capital of \$2.3 million at December 31, 2011. Our debt as a percentage of total capitalization (total debt and common shareholders' equity) was 11.0% and 11.7% at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

A summary of our cash flow information was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	201	2		2011		
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$	10,042	\$	4,997		
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities		(7,314)		8,539		
Cash flows used in financing activities		(48)		(13,082)		
Increase in cash	\$	2,680	\$	454		

Cash provided by operating activities of \$10.0 million in the first nine months of 2012 resulted from our Net income of \$2.2 million, net non-cash expense of \$6.4 million and changes in our operating assets and liabilities as discussed in more detail below.

Accounts receivable, net, decreased \$1.6 million to \$11.7 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$13.3 million at December 31, 2011. This decrease was primarily due to a \$660,000 decrease in our receivable from A-B, which totaled \$7.7 million at September 30, 2012. Historically, we have not had collection problems related to our accounts receivable.

Inventories increased \$2.0 million to \$11.4 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$9.4 million at December 31, 2011, primarily to support an increase in shipment volume.

Accounts payable increased \$2.4 million to \$13.4 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$11.0 million at December 31, 2011, primarily due to increased inventory purchases to support our increased level of sales, partially offset by a \$150,000 decrease in the portion of our payable to A-B that is included in Accounts payable, which totaled \$1.3 million at September 30, 2012.

As of September 30, 2012, we had state net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") available to offset payment of future income taxes of \$80,000, tax-effected; and we had no federal NOLs remaining. We also had \$589,000 in federal alternative minimum tax ("AMT") credit carry forwards.

We anticipate that we will utilize the remaining state NOLs and federal AMT credit carry forwards in the near future and, accordingly, once utilized, we will be required to satisfy all of our income tax obligations with cash.

Capital expenditures of \$7.8 million in the first nine months of 2012 were primarily for capacity, efficiency and cooperage purposes. For all of 2012, we anticipate capital expenditures of approximately \$8.5 million to \$9.5 million primarily for investments in capacity and efficiency.

We have a loan agreement (as amended, the "Loan Agreement") with BofA, which is presently comprised of a \$22.0 million revolving line of credit ("Line of Credit"), including provisions for cash borrowings and up to \$2.5 million notional amount of letters of credit, and a \$11.9 million term loan ("Term Loan"). We may draw upon the Line of Credit for working capital and general corporate purposes. At September 30, 2012, we had no borrowings outstanding under the Line of Credit and we were in compliance with the financial covenants associated with the Loan Agreement.

Index

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our financial statements are based upon the selection and application of significant accounting policies that require management to make significant estimates and assumptions. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. Our estimates are based upon historical experience, market trends and financial forecasts and projections, and upon various other assumptions that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances at various points in time. Actual results may differ, potentially significantly, from these estimates.

Our critical accounting policies, as described in our 2011 Annual Report, relate to goodwill, other intangible assets, long-lived assets, refundable deposits on kegs, revenue recognition and income taxes. There have been no changes to our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2011.

Seasonality

Our sales generally reflect a degree of seasonality, with the first and fourth quarters historically exhibiting low sales levels relative to the second and third quarters. Accordingly, our results for any particular quarter are not likely to be indicative of the results to be achieved for the full year.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in our reported market risks and risk management policies since the filing of our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on March 14, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e)) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted by us under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. While reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, it does not mean absolute assurance. Disclosure controls and internal control over financial reporting cannot prevent or detect all errors, misstatements or fraud. In addition, the design of a control system must recognize that there are resource constraints, and the benefits associated with controls must be proportionate to their costs.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the third quarter of 2012, no changes in our internal control over financial reporting were identified in connection with the evaluation required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 or 15d-15 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no changes in our reported risk factors and no new risk factors have been identified since the filing of our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on March 14, 2012.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed herewith and this list is intended to constitute the exhibit index:

<u>31.1</u>	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
31.2 32.1	Certification pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
99.1	Press Release dated November 7, 2012
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE, INC.

November 7, 2012

BY: /s/ Joseph K. O'Brien

Joseph K. O'Brien Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Terry E. Michaelson, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10–Q of Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(e) and 15d–15(f)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f)) and 15d–15(f)) for the Registrant and we have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2012

By:

/s/ Terry E. Michaelson Terry E. Michaelson Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Mark D. Moreland, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10–Q of Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. (the "Registrant");
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(e) and 15d–15(f)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a–15(f) and 15d–15(f)) for the Registrant and we have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2012

By:

/s/ Mark D. Moreland

Mark D. Moreland

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

EXHIBIT 32.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 7, 2012 (the "Report"), Terry E. Michaelson, the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, and Mark D. Moreland, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Registrant, each hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to his knowledge:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Date: November 7, 2012

BY:

/s/ Terry E. Michaelson

Terry E. Michaelson Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

BY:

/s/ Mark D. Moreland

Mark D. Moreland Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)











FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

CRAFT BREW ALLIANCE REPORTS THIRD QUARTER 2012 RESULTS

Net sales increase 11% year-to-date;

Increase in cash flow from operations of 101% year-to-date

Portland, Ore. (November 7, 2012) – <u>Craft Brew Alliance, Inc.</u> ("CBA") (Nasdaq: BREW), an independent craft brewing company, reported net sales of \$127.4 million and net income of \$2.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared with net sales of \$114.3 million and net income of \$9.4 million a year ago, which included an after-tax gain of \$6.5 million from the sale of our minority interest in Fulton Street Brewery, LLC ("FSB"). Earnings per share ("EPS") on a fully diluted basis for the year-to-date period were \$0.12 as compared with \$0.50 for the same period last year, which included \$0.34 from the FSB sale.

Significant year-to-date highlights include:

- Net sales increased \$13.1 million, or 11%, to \$127.4 million versus last year
- Total beer shipments increased 6%, while depletions grew 5% for the period
- Gross profit percentage of 30.4% as compared to 31.0% last year
- Cash provided by operations increased to \$10.0 million for the period compared to \$5.0 million last year
- Introduction of Omission beer, the first craft beer brand in the United States focused exclusively on brewing great tasting traditional craft beers that are specially crafted to remove gluten
- Introduction of Kona Big Wave Golden Ale to the mainland, previously only available in Hawaii
- Introduction of two brands in 12-ounce aluminum cans
 Revised full year guidance calls for depletion growth of 6-8% and revenue growth of 11-13% with fully diluted EPS guidance of \$0.12-\$0.17

"We are pleased with the progress of our portfolio strategy and are looking forward to a strong 4th quarter," said Terry Michaelson, CBA's CEO. "While we had anticipated better financial performance during the third quarter, we feel strongly about our unique and advantaged strategy in the craft beer segment. Heading into the remainder of 2012, we are very encouraged by the current industry off-premise data which shows our Kona and Redhook brand families to be outpacing a number of our large craft beer competitors.'

Financial Outlook

Based on year-to-date and anticipated future performance levels, we are updating components of our 2012 guidance as follows. Full year selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") and capital expenditure guidance remains unchanged.

- Depletion growth estimate of 6% to 8%, reflecting the continued strength of the Kona, Redhook and Omission brands offset by softness in the Widmer Brothers brand. Previous guidance was 8% to 10%.
- Sales growth of approximately 11% to 13%. Previous guidance was 13% to 15%.
- Gross margin rate of minus 50 basis points to flat versus last year, reflecting brewery productivity and positive product mix offset by pressure from grain prices and distribution costs. Previous guidance was flat to plus 50 basis points.

 SG&A expense ranging from \$43 million to \$45 million, reflecting continued investment in sales and marketing initiatives. No change from
- previous guidance.
- Diluted EPS in the range of \$0.12 to \$0.17. Previous guidance was \$0.20 to \$0.25.
- Capital expenditures of approximately \$8.5 million to \$9.5 million, continuing our investments in capacity and efficiency improvements, and quality initiatives. No change from previous guidance.

We will provide our full-year 2013 financial outlook as we complete our 2013 planning process within the next two months. In 2013, we expect meaningful growth in both revenue and earnings reflecting the overall strength of our portfolio strategy, operating expense leverage and SG&A leverage.

Operating Results

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$127.4 million, an increase of \$13.1 million, or 11%, from net sales of \$114.3 million for the same period of 2011. A combination of factors drove the increase, including increased shipments, a decrease in master distributor fees, price increases for our beers sold to wholesalers and an increase in revenues earned from our pubs.

Net sales for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 were \$44.6 million, an increase of \$4.1 million, or 10%, from net sales of \$40.5 million for the same quarter last year, primarily as a result of price increases for our beers sold to wholesalers and an increase in revenues earned from our pubs. Net income for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was \$0.9 million, or \$0.05 per diluted share. This was a decrease of \$0.3 million from our net income of \$1.2 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share, for the same quarter in 2011, primarily as a result of additional commercial operation spending targeted towards accelerating existing brands, introducing new brands, and continuing the build-out of our national sales team.

Total shipments for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 grew 6% to 549,700 barrels, an increase of 29,200 barrels, from 520,500 barrels for the same period of 2011, primarily reflecting the increase in shipments to wholesalers. Total shipments for the quarter also grew, up 7,800 barrels, or 4%, to 189,300 barrels from last year, also as a result of increased shipments to wholesalers. Partially offsetting the increase in the third quarter was a decline in contract brewing shipments as a result of terminating our contract brewing agreement with FSB.

Gross margin as a percentage of net sales declined 62 basis points for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, reflecting increased distribution and grain costs in the first nine months of 2012 as compared with the same period of 2011. These unfavorable factors were partially offset by decreased distributor fees and increased selling prices for our beers.

SG&A expense of \$34.5 million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 increased \$4.0 million, or 13%, from \$30.5 million for the same period of 2011. As discussed above, this increase reflects our continuing investment in commercial operation initiatives to remain a leader in the intensely competitive craft beer segment. The increase in SG&A was partially offset by lower packaging design and development costs.

"Our year-to-date sales growth of 11% along with strong cash flows demonstrate the fundamental strength of our business and strategy," said Mark Moreland, CBA's CFO. "We have revised our sales, gross margin and EPS guidance for 2012 as we were anticipating both faster traction from key in-market initiatives which have begun to generate results in the fourth quarter and higher second half gross margin. While not satisfied with our projected full year performance, we are confident that our investments in our brands, sales and operating infrastructure will drive significant long-term top-line growth and improved profitability."

Cash Flow and Liquidity

Our cash and cash equivalent balance was \$3.5 million, an increase of \$2.9 million year-to-date. Cash provided by operating activities was \$10.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$5.0 million for the same period of 2011. The \$5.0 million increase was primarily due to improved working capital. Capital expenditures for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$7.8 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. Capital expenditures in both periods included projects designed to increase our capacity and improve efficiency.

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements made in this press release that state the Company's or management's intentions, hopes, beliefs, expectations or predictions of the future, including depletions and sales growth, the level or effect of SG&A expense, the amount of capital spending, and the benefits or improvements to be realized from strategic initiatives and capital projects, are forward-looking statements. It is important to note that the Company's actual results could differ materially from those projected in such forward-looking statements. Additional information concerning factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements is contained from time to time in the Company's SEC filings, including, but not limited to, the Company's report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Copies of these documents may be found on the Company's website, www.craftbrew.com, or obtained by contacting the Company or the SEC.

About Craft Brew Alliance

CB^A is an independent, publicly traded craft brewing company that was formed with the merger of leading Pacific Northwest craft brewers – Widmer Brothers Brewing and Redhook Ale Brewery – in 2008. With an eye toward preserving and growing one-of-a-kind craft beers and brands, CB^A was joined by Kona Brewing Company in 2010. Craft Brew Alliance launched Omission beer in 2012.

When Kurt & Rob Widmer founded Widmer Brothers Brewing in 1984, they didn't confine their brewing exploration to strict style guidelines. To this day, Widmer Brothers continues to create craft beers with a unique and unconventional twist on traditional styles that are award winning and please a wide range of craft beer lovers. Redhook began in a Seattle transmission shop in 1981 and those colorful roots are reflected in the brand's personality to this day. The eminently drinkable beers consistently win awards and please crowds across the United States. Kona Brewing was founded in 1994 by the father and son team of Cameron Healy and Spoon Khalsa, who dreamed of crafting fresh, local-island brews with spirit, passion and quality. As the largest craft brewery in Hawaii, Kona personifies the laid-back, passionate lifestyle and environmental respect of the Hawaiian people and culture. Omission beer is the first craft beer brand in the United States focused exclusively on brewing great tasting craft beers with traditional beer ingredients, including malted barley, that are specially crafted to remove gluten.

Craft Brew Alliance Reports Third Quarter 2012 Results

For more information, visit: www.craftbrew.com.

Media Contact: Ted Lane LANE *PR* (212) 302-5948 Ted@lanepr.com Investor Contact: Edwin Smith Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. (503) 972-7884 ed.smith@craftbrew.com

###

Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (In thousands, except per share amounts and shipments) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Ended 30,		
		2012		2011		2012	2011	
Sales	\$	47,951	\$	43,633	\$	137,121	\$	123,442
Less excise taxes		3,363		3,156		9,770		9,172
Net sales		44,588		40,477		127,351		114,270
Cost of sales		30,964		27,762		88,682		78,869
Gross profit		13,624		12,715		38,669		35,401
as percentage of net sales		30.6%		31.4%		30.4%		31.0%
Selling, general and administrative expenses		11,907		10,530		34,502		30,489
Operating income		1,717		2,185		4,167		4,912
Interest expense		(165)		(205)		(496)		(747)
Gain on sale of equity interest in Fulton Street Brewery, LLC								10,398
Income from equity investments, interest and other, net		10		22		4		733
Income before income taxes		1,562		2,002		3,675		15,296
Income tax provision	_	614	_	771	_	1,470		5,889
Net income	\$	948	\$	1,231	\$	2,205	\$	9,407
Earnings per share:								
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$	0.05	\$	0.07	\$	0.12	\$	0.50
Weighted average shares outstanding:								
Basic		18,872		18,843		18,858		18,831
Diluted		18,954		18,935		18,932		18,936
Total shipments (in barrels):								
Core Brands		177,900		165,300		507,200		482,000
Contract Brewing		11,400		16,200		42,500		38,500
Total shipments	_	189,300	_	181,500	_	549,700	_	520,500
Depletion growth rate (over the same period from the prior year)	=	4%	_	9%		<u>5</u> %	_	<u>7</u> %

Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (In thousands) (Unaudited)

		September 30,			
		2012		2011	
Current assets:	ф	0.455	ф	610	
Cash	\$	3,475	\$	618	
Accounts receivable, net		11,712		12,670	
Inventories		11,409		10,128	
Deferred income tax asset, net		1,469		704	
Other current assets		3,414		2,494	
Total current assets		31,479		26,614	
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net		103,581		100,597	
Goodwill		12,917		12,917	
Intangible and other non-current assets, net		18,011		18,330	
Total assets	<u>\$</u>	165,988	\$	158,458	
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$	13,362	\$	11,866	
Accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes		4,445		3,909	
Refundable deposits		8,551		7,342	
Other accrued expenses		877		1,304	
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		632		585	
Total current liabilities	<u> </u>	27,867		25,006	
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, net		12,633		13,371	
Other long-term liabilities		17,706		16,001	
Total common shareholders' equity		107,782		104,080	
Total liabilities and common shareholders' equity	\$	165,988	\$	158,458	

Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (In thousands) (Unaudited)

		nths Ended nber 30,
	2012	2011
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 2,205	\$ 9,407
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Depreciation and amortization	5,518	5,375
Income from equity investments	_	(691)
Gain on sale of equity interest in Fulton Street Brewery, LLC	_	(10,398)
Deferred income taxes	992	4,905
Other, including stock-based compensation and excess tax benefit from employee stock plans	(85)	334
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,196	(1,320)
Inventories	(1,962)	(1,441)
Other current assets	(598)	739
Other assets		(382)
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses	2,173	(2,024)
Accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes	(79)	(144)
Refundable deposits	682	637
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,042	4,997
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Expenditures for property, equipment and leasehold improvements	(7,769)	(6,637)
Proceeds from sale of property, equipment and leasehold improvements and other	37	101
Proceeds from the sale of equity interest in Fulton Street Brewery, LLC	418	15,075
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(7,314)	8,539
Net cash provided by (used iii) investing activities	(7,314)	0,339
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Principal payments on debt and capital lease obligations	(440)	(5,605)
Net borrowings under revolving line of credit		(7,500)
Issuance of common stock	13	23
Excess tax benefit from employee stock plans	379	_
Net cash used in financing activities	(48)	(13,082)
Increase in cash	2,680	454
Cash, beginning of period	795	164
Cash, end of period	\$ 3,475	\$ 618

Craft Brew Alliance, Inc. Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Income (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2012		2011		2012			2011
Net income	\$	948	\$	1,231	\$	2,205	\$	9,407
Interest expense		165		205		496		747
Income tax provision		614		771		1,470		5,889
Depreciation expense		1,695		1,660		5,328		5,131
Amortization expense		62		64		190		244
Gain on sale of equity interest in Fulton Street Brewery, LLC		-		-		-		(10,398)
Stock-based compensation		218		88		529		337
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	3,702	\$	4,019	\$	10,218	\$	11,357

The Company has presented Adjusted Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("Adjusted EBITDA") in these tables to provide investors with additional information to evaluate our operating performance on an ongoing basis using criteria that are used by the Company's management. The Company defines Adjusted EBITDA as net earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, stock compensation and other non-cash charges, including net gain or loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment. The Company uses Adjusted EBITDA, among other measures, to evaluate operating performance, to plan and forecast future periods' operating performance, and as an incentive compensation target for certain management personnel.

As Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of operating performance or liquidity calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP"), this measure should not be considered in isolation of, or as a substitute for, net income, as an indicator of operating performance, or net cash provided by operating activities as an indicator of liquidity. The use of Adjusted EBITDA instead of net income has limitations as an analytical tool, including the inability to determine profitability; the exclusion of interest expense and associated cash requirements, given the level of the Company's indebtedness; and the exclusion of depreciation and amortization which represent significant and unavoidable operating costs, given the capital expenditures needed to maintain the Company's operations. We compensate for these limitations by relying on GAAP results. Our computation of Adjusted EBITDA may differ from similarly titled measures used by other companies. As Adjusted EBITDA excludes certain financial information compared with net income and net cash provided by operating activities, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, users of this financial information should consider the types of events and transactions which are excluded. The table above shows a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income.